## Student Success Report 2017-2018

## Enrollment

GSCC reports enrollment by term to the Alabama Community College System utilizing the Data Access Exchange (DAX). College enrollment is tracked by term and by campus.

Benchmarks: $2 \%$ increase in College enrollment per year (overall) Enrollment Target: 6,000 unduplicated fall headcount per year

Table 1: Three Year Unduplicated Enrollment

|  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | Percent Change (last two years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gadsden State Overall |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting Technology | 85 | 82 | 81 | -1.22\% |
| Child Development | 165 | 202 | 125 | -38.12\% |
| Computer Science Technology | 175 | 153 | 224 | +46.40\% |
| General Studies | 2432 | 3019 | 3060 | +1.36\% |
| Health Information Technology Management |  | 5 | 35 | +60\% |
| Human Services | 117 | 88 | 82 | -6.82\% |
| Liberal Arts | 1320 | 794 | 483 | -39.17\% |
| Marketing Management | 62 | 67 | 81 | +20.90\% |
| Office Administration | 330 | 272 | 288 | +5.88\% |
| Paralegal | 70 | 69 | 60 | -13.04\% |
| Health Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Medical Services | 163 | 165 | 147 | -10.91\% |
| Medical Laboratory Technology | 37 | 34 | 43 | +26.47\% |
| Practical Nursing | 151 | 96 | 2* | -97.92\% |
| Registered Nursing | 307 | 323 | 404 | +25.08\% |
| Radiologic Technology | 36 | 45 | 38 | -15.56\% |
| Surgical/Operating Room Technician | 53 | 72 | 83 | +15.28\% |
| Therapeutic Massage | 24 | 20 | 14 | -30.00\% |
| Technical Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Air Conditioning \& Refrigeration | 114 | 126 | 129 | +2.38\% |
| Auto Collision Repair Technology | 52 | 47 | 52 | +10.63\% |
| Automotive Manufacturing Technology | 24 | 26 | 16 | -38.36\% |
| Automotive Service Technology | 37 | 37 | 30 | -18.91\% |
| Carpentry | 26 | 24 | 15 | -37.50\% |
| Civil Engineering/Mechanical Design Tech. | 121 | 98 | 89 | -9.18\% |
| Cosmetology/Salon and Spa Management | 121 | 138 | 131 | -5.07\% |
| Diesel Technology | 44 | 44 | 44 | No Change |
| Drafting and Design Technology | 50 | 54 | 54 | No Change |
| Electrical/ Industrial Automation Technology | 257 | 281 | 287 | +2.14\% |
| Electronic Engineering Technology | 156 | 153 | 159 | +3.92\% |
| Precision Machining | 71 | 98 | 111 | +13.27\% |
| Realtime Reporting | 29 | 32 | 31 | -3.13\% |
| Welding Technology | 26 | 203 | 197 | -2.96\% |

[^0]Data: Each fall, the College prepares an unduplicated enrollment report for the Alabama Commission on Higher Education (ACHE). The unduplicated enrollment for the past five fall semesters is illustrated in the table below.

Table 2: Unduplicated Fall Headcount

| Campus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 2014 | Fall 2015 | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 |  |
| Wallace Drive | 2707 | 2579 | 2767 | 2722 | 2774 |
| Valley Street | 166 | 161 | 144 | 89 | 97 |
| East Broad | 450 | 466 | 487 | 469 | 449 |
| St. Clair | 78 | 49 | 47 | 61 | 46 |
| Ayers | 1076 | 1013 | 965 | 864 | 743 |
| McClellan | 477 | 409 | 389 | 305 | 233 |
| Cherokee | 198 | 198 | 220 | 270 | 291 |
| Dual | 126 | 118 | 84 | 178 | 90 |
| Distance | 11 | 25 | 8 | 3 | 13 |
| Totals | 5289 | 5018 | 5111 | 4,961 | 4,736 |

Performance: Fall 2018 unduplicated headcount is 4,736 - a $4.5 \%$ decrease from the previous year, which is well below the aspirational benchmark of 6,000. Three campuses - Wallace Drive, Valley Street, and Cherokee revealed increases in unduplicated headcount during this period.

## Retention Rate

Benchmark: Fall to Fall Retention (first-time, full-time) - 62\%
Performance: The retention rate of first-time, full-time students was 55\% for those beginning in Fall 2017 and returning in Fall 2018.

## Benchmark: Fall to Fall Retention (first-time, part-time) - 49\%

Performance: The retention rate of first-time, part-time students was 50\% for those beginning in Fall 2017 and returning in Fall 2018.

Data: Nationally the retention rate for the public two-year college sector of first-time, full-time freshmen to persist to the following fall was $62 \%$ and for part-time, first-time freshmen was $48.9 \%$, which was used to establish the GSCC performance benchmarks. The IPEDS fall to fall cohort retention rate for the past four complete academic years for GSCC is as follows:

Table 3: Cohort Fall to Fall Retention Rate

| Student Status | 2014-2015 to <br> 2015-2016 | 2015-2016 to <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 2016-2017 to <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 2017-2018 to <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-Time Student | $56 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Part-Time Student | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

## Graduation Rate

Benchmark: Graduation Rate (first-time, full-time) - 20\%
Performance: The graduation rate of first-time, full-time students was 20\% for the Fall 2014 cohort within 150\% of time to complete.

Benchmark: Transfer rate of 15\%
Performance: The transfer rate of the Fall 2014 cohort was 20\%.
Data: Gadsden State reports the following completion data in the annual IPEDS survey of graduation rates:

Table 4: Overall Graduation and Transfer Out Rate

| First Time, Full Time Students | 2011 Cohort | 2012 Cohort | 2013 Cohort | 2014 Cohort |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Graduation Rate | $13 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Transfer Out Rate | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

## Course Success Rate

Benchmark: 60\% pass rate in Developmental English (ENR 094)
Performance: The pass rate for ENR 094 was 74.59\% in 2017-2018.
Benchmark: 60\% pass rates in MTH 098
Performance: The pass rate for MTH 098 was $54.76 \%$ in 2017-2018.
Benchmark: 15\% or less of students will withdraw from MTH 098
Performance: The benchmark was not made. The withdrawal rate for 2017-2018 was 21.19\%.
The first two institutional goals, directly address student achievement:

1. Provide educational opportunities that prepare students for successful careers in professional and career technical fields in an increasingly global environment, retrain existing employees, and promote local and state workforce development initiatives that meet employer needs.
2. Prepare students with foundational knowledge of general education core requirements, such as communications, humanities, social sciences, mathematics, natural sciences, and/or computer/technology skills, for certificate programs, associate degree programs, and successful transfer to four-year institutions.

Data: Course completion and student outcomes are systematically collected and analyzed to determine student achievement. This information is also used to provide insight into opportunities to improve student achievement. An example of using course completion rates to improve student achievement is the professional development program implemented Fall 2016. This professional development focused on providing faculty mentors to those faculty with student course completion rates lower than the departmental average. Course completion rates were considered in three types of courses: (1) developmental; (2) general education; and (3) online instruction.

Table 5: Developmental Course Completion

| Course | Withdrawal Rate | ${ }^{1}$ Success Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-2017 | $12.90 \%$ | $72.04 \%$ |
| ENG 092 | $10.00 \%$ | $71.43 \%$ |
| ENG 093 | $17.15 \%$ | $50.86 \%$ |
| MTH 090 | $19.39 \%$ | $56.02 \%$ |
| MTH 098 | $15.38 \%$ | $65.38 \%$ |
| RDG 084 | $4.26 \%$ | $70.21 \%$ |
| RDG 085 |  |  |
| 2017-2018 | $8.83 \%$ | $74.59 \%$ |
| ENR 094 | $21.19 \%$ | $54.76 \%$ |
| MTH 098 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Successful = earned a passing grade of $A, B, C$, or $S$
Benchmark: 85\% persistence rates in General Education
Performance: Communications, Humanities/Fine Arts and Social Sciences met the 85\% benchmark; but Computer Science (82\%), Math (81\%) and Natural Sciences (83\%) fell short of the benchmark in 2017-2018.

## Benchmark: 70\% pass rate in General Education courses

Performance: Communications, Humanities/Fine Arts, Natural Sciences and Social Sciences met the 70\% benchmark; but Computer Science (64\%) and Math (65\%) fell short of the benchmark in 2017-2018.

Data: The following tables provide data relative to the rate at which Gadsden State students have successfully completed general education courses during the most recent three academic years: 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018.

Table 6: General Education Course Completion

| General Education Core Area | Persistence \% | \% Successful* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Communications |  |  |
| Computer Science | $87.2 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ |
| Humanities | $81.2 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $87.1 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| Math | $89.5 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $80.5 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $79.4 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
|  | $88.2 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ |
| Communications |  |  |
| Computer Science | $87.9 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ |
| Humanities | $81.8 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $86.5 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ |
| Math | $91.1 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $81.3 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $83.2 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |


| General Education Core Area | Persistence \% | \% Successful* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-2018 |  |  |
| Communications | $88.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
| Computer Science | $81.7 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| Humanities | $85.1 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $89.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ |
| Math | $80.9 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $82.6 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $89.9 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |

Source: DAXREG, (Successful*= A, B or C)
Communications: SPH, ENG 101, 102; Computer Science: CIS 146; Humanities: HUM, ENG 251,253, 261,262;
Fine Arts: ART, MUS, THR; Math: MTH (100 or above); Natural Sciences: BIO, CHM, PHY, PHS, AST;
Social Sciences: HIS, PSY, SOC
The persistence rate in the above tables calculates the rate based on the number of students who withdraw. The successful course completion rate is based on the number of students with a successful grade at the end of the semester.

## Course Completion - Distance Education

Benchmark: 85\% persistence rates in Distance Education courses
Performance: \% of students in 2017-2018 persisted to the end of the semester in their Distance Education courses.

## Benchmark: 80\% pass rate in Distance Education courses

Performance: \% of the students in 2017-2018 in Distance Education courses passed the course.
Data: Students enrolled in distance education courses demonstrated success rates comparable to all other students. The retention rates of students enrolled in courses delivered utilizing online instruction were over $85 \%$ for reporting years 2015-2016,2016-2017, and 2017-2018. The following table depicts the data relative to the performance of Gadsden State students in courses delivered utilizing online instruction:

Table 7: Distance Education Student Performance*

| 2015-2016 |  | Student Registrations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | Percent

[^1]Successful is A, B. C's divided by entrolled. Withdrawals are not removed from calculation of successful percentage.

## Career and Technical Education

Data from Gadsden State Community College's Report on Career and Technical Education (Perkins Report) 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 indicate that the College fulfills its mission and institutional goals (Goal 1) with respect to providing educational opportunities that prepare students for successful careers in professional and career technical fields. The Perkins Report shows an improvement in the percentage of students attaining technical skills from $89.5 \%$ in 2016-2017 to $96.24 \%$ in 2017-2018. The percentage of students remained enrolled or transferred to another post-secondary institution also improved from $65.87 \%$ to $87.10 \%$.

Table 8: Perkins Report Data

| Core Indicator | 2016-2017 <br> Actual <br> Targeted |  | 2017-2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Targeted |  |  |  |
| \% of CTE concentrators attaining technical skills | $89.5 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $96.24 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| \% of CTE concentrators receiving an award, industry or <br> professional organization recognized credential | $61.11 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $61.09 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| \% of CTE concentrators who remained enrolled or <br> transferred to another post-secondary institution or 4-year <br> college | $65.87 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $87.10 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| \% of CTE concentrators employed in field, military, or <br> apprenticeship programs in the 2nd quarter following the <br> term in which they left post-secondary | $75.21 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $73.16 \%$ | $79 \%$ |

## Achievement of Program Graduates: Performance on Licensing Examinations

Benchmarks:

- Cosmetology (Salon and Spa Management) licensure pass rates will be $80 \%$ or greater
- Nursing (PN and RN) licensure pass rates will be $80 \%$ or greater
- Emergency Medical Services licensure pass rates will be $80 \%$ or greater
- Massage Therapy licensure pass rates will be $90 \%$ or greater
- Medical Laboratory Technician licensure pass rates will be $75 \%$ or greater over a 3-year period
- Radiology Technologiest licensure pass rate will be $75 \%$ or greater

Performance: Gadsden State program completers in Cosmetology, PN, and Radiologic Technology passed the licensure exams at a rate exceeding their benchmarks. For the three year periods of 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, all Cosmetology graduates' (including esthetics) licensure rate was $98.2 \%$ (Table 9), which exceeds the $80 \%$ benchmark. EMT licensure rate for the same time period was $78.6 \%$, which is below the benchmark of 80\% (Table 12); and Paramedic licensure rate was 73.4\% (Table 12), also below the benchmark. Nursing licensure rates for Practical Nursing were $92.8 \%$ (Table 10); and Radiologic Technoloy was $91.7 \%$ (Table 15). Medical Laboratory Technology licensure rate was below the benchmark in 2015-2016 at 67\%, but met the benchmark in 2016-2017 at $80 \%$ and in 2017-2018 at $93 \%$, with the three year average of $81.8 \%$ meeting the benchmark (Table 14). Therapeutic Massage struggled at $65.8 \%$ (Table 13), and Registered Nursing only achieved 77.2\% (Table 11).

Table 9: Cosmetology Program Licensure Performance

| Program | \# of Students <br> Tested | \# of Students <br> Passing | Passing \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 18 | 17 | $94 \%$ |
| $2016-2017$ | 24 | 24 | $100 \%$ |
| $2017-2018$ | 14 | 14 | $100 \%$ |

Table 10: Practical Nursing Program Licensure Performance

| Practical <br> Nursing <br> (NCLEX) | Number of Students | Number Passing <br> Exam | Passing <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 61 | 61 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 61 | 57 | $93.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 100 | 88 | $88 \%$ |

Table 11: Registered Nursing Program Licensure Performance

| Registered <br> Nursing <br> (NCLEX) | Number of Students | Number Passing <br> Exam | Passing <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 83 | 76 | $91.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 95 | 81 | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 159 | 103 | $65.4 \%$ |

Table 12: Emergency Medical Technician Licensure Performance

| Program | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6 ~}$ | 64 | 49 | $77 \%$ |  |
| EMT | 15 | 12 | $80 \%$ |  |
| Paramedic | 58 | 43 | $74 \%$ |  |
| 2016-2017 | 27 | 15 | $56 \%$ |  |
| EMT | 51 | 44 | $86 \%$ |  |
| Paramedic | 22 | 20 | $91 \%$ |  |
| 2017-2018 |  |  |  |  |
| EMT |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: Theraputic Massage Licensure Performance

| Massage <br> Therapy | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 15 | 8 | $53.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 13 | 9 | $69.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 10 | 8 | $80 \%$ |

Table 14: Medical Laboratory Technician Licensure Performance

| Medical <br> Laboratory | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 9 | 6 | $67 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 10 | 8 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 14 | 13 | $93 \%$ |

Table 15: Radiologic Technology Licensure Performance

| Radiologic <br> Technology | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 11 | 11 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 19 | 18 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 18 | 15 | $83 \%$ |

## National Educational Examinations

Benchmark: GSCC student performance will be comparable to the national average for 2-year college students on the ETS Proficiency Profile

Performance: Administered for the first time in 2019, the following table demonstrates the GSCC performance in Math, English and Critical Thinking and the comparison to the 2018 Benchmark report for Associate degree colleges for freshmen. Gadsden State met the benchmarks for Proficient in Critical Thinking, Reading Level 2 and Writing Level 3, but all of those benchmarks were $4 \%$ or less.

| Skill Dimension | Proficiency Classification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proficient | Marginal | Not Proficient |
| Reading, Level 1 | 43\% | 22\% | 35\% |
| Reading, Level 2 | 13\% | 18\% | 69\% |
| Critical Thinking | 1\% | 3\% | 96\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| Writing, Level 1 | 32\% | 43\% | 25\% |
| Writing, Level 2 | 5\% | 19\% | 76\% |
| Writing, Level 3 | 5\% | 7\% | 89\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics, Level 1 | 25\% | 29\% | 46\% |
| Mathematics, Level 2 | 7\% | 20\% | 73\% |
| Mathematics, Level 3 | 2\% | 3\% | 95\% |



| Skill Dimension | Proficiency Classification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing, Level 2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 5\% | 9\% | -4\% |
| Marginal | 19\% | 27\% | -8\% |
| Not Proficient | 76\% | 64\% | 12\% |
| Writing, Level 3 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% |
| Marginal | 7\% | 13\% | -6\% |
| Not Proficient | 89\% | 83\% | 6\% |
| Mathematics, Level 1 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 25\% | 37\% | -12\% |
| Marginal | 29\% | 29\% | -- |
| Not Proficient | 46\% | 33\% | 13\% |
| Mathematics, Level 2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 7\% | 15\% | -8\% |
| Marginal | 20\% | 23\% | -3\% |
| Not Proficient | 73\% | 63\% | 10\% |
| Mathematics, Level 3 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 2\% | 2\% | -- |
| Marginal | 3\% | 8\% | -5\% |
| Not Proficient | 95\% | 89\% | 6\% |


[^0]:    *The drastic change in Practical Nursing is due to the new Concept Based Curriculum.

[^1]:    *Distance education is online classes only. Hybrid and independent study are not included.

