## Student Success Report 2018-2019

## Enrollment

GSCC reports enrollment by term to the Alabama Community College System utilizing the Data Access Exchange (DAX). College enrollment is tracked by term and by campus.

Benchmarks: 2\% increase in College enrollment per year (overall) Enrollment Target: 6,000 unduplicated fall headcount per year

Table 1: Three Year Unduplicated Enrollment

|  | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | Percent Change (last two years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gadsden State Overall |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting Technology | 82 | 81 | 75 | -7.4\% |
| Child Development | 202 | 125 | 107 | -14.4\% |
| Computer Science Technology | 153 | 224 | 206 | -8.0\% |
| General Studies | 3019 | 3060 | 2972 | -2.9\% |
| Health Information Technology Management | 5 | 35 | 17 | -51.4\% |
| Human Services | 88 | 82 | 62 | -24.4\% |
| Liberal Arts | 794 | 483 | 278 | -42.4\% |
| Marketing Management | 67 | 81 | 58 | -28.4\% |
| Office Administration | 272 | 288 | 257 | -10.8\% |
| Paralegal | 69 | 60 | 75 | 25.0\% |
| Health Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Diagnostic Medical Sonography |  |  | 35 | --- |
| Emergency Medical Services | 165 | 147 | 143 | -2.7\% |
| Medical Laboratory Technology | 34 | 43 | 28 | -34.9\% |
| Practical Nursing | 96 | 2* | 1* | -50.0\% |
| Registered Nursing | 323 | 404 | 440 | 8.9\% |
| Radiologic Technology | 45 | 38 | 33 | -13.2\% |
| Surgical/Operating Room Technician | 72 | 83 | 66 | -20.5\% |
| Therapeutic Massage | 20 | 14 | 9 | -35.7\% |
| Technical Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Air Conditioning \& Refrigeration | 126 | 129 | 123 | -4.7\% |
| Auto Collision Repair Technology | 47 | 52 | 52 | 0.00\% |
| Automotive Manufacturing Technology | 26 | 16 | 13 | -18.8\% |
| Automotive Service Technology | 37 | 30 | 24 | -20.0\% |
| Carpentry | 24 | 15 | 28 | 86.7\% |
| Civil Engineering/Mechanical Design Tech. | 98 | 89 | 85 | -4.5\% |
| Cosmetology/Salon and Spa Management | 138 | 131 | 150 | 14.5\% |
| Diesel Technology | 44 | 44 | 45 | 2.3\% |
| Drafting and Design Technology | 54 | 54 | 45 | -16.7\% |
| Electrical/ Industrial Automation Technology | 281 | 287 | 284 | -1.0\% |
| Electronic Engineering Technology | 153 | 159 | 154 | -3.1\% |
| Precision Machining | 98 | 111 | 123 | 10.8\% |
| Realtime Reporting | 32 | 31 | 35 | 12.9\% |
| Welding Technology | 203 | 197 | 199 | 1.0\% |

*The drastic change in Practical Nursing is due to the new Concept Based Curriculum.
Data: Each fall, the College prepares an unduplicated enrollment report for the Alabama Commission on Higher Education (ACHE). The unduplicated enrollment for the past five fall semesters is illustrated in the table below.

Table 2: Unduplicated Fall Headcount

| Unduplicated Fall Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus | Fall 2015 | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 | Fall 2019 |
| Wallace Drive | 2579 | 2767 | 2722 | 2774 | 3398 |
| Valley Street | 161 | 144 | 89 | 97 | 135 |
| East Broad | 466 | 487 | 469 | 449 | 732 |
| St. Clair | 49 | 47 | 61 | 46 | 45 |
| Ayers | 1013 | 965 | 864 | 743 | 1067 |
| McClellan | 409 | 389 | 305 | 233 | $*$ |
| Cherokee | 198 | 220 | 270 | 291 | 382 |
| Dual | 118 | 84 | 178 | 90 | $*$ |
| Distance | 25 | 8 | 3 | 13 | $*$ |
| Totals | 5018 | 5111 | 4,961 | 4,736 | 4,599 |

*McClellan Center closed and dual/distance education are included in other campuses.
Performance: Fall 2019 unduplicated headcount is 4,599 - a $2.9 \%$ decrease from the previous year, which is well below the aspirational benchmark of 6,000 and the benchmark of a $2 \%$ increase in enrollment. Three campuses Wallace Drive, Valley Street, and Cherokee - revealed increases in unduplicated headcount during this period; however, a main reason for the increase in Wallace Drive was that all distance students are included with Wallace Drive totals.

## Retention Rate

Benchmark: Fall to Fall Retention (first-time, full-time) - 62\%
Performance: The retention rate of first-time, full-time students was $55 \%$ for those beginning in Fall 2018 and returning in Fall 2019.

Benchmark: Fall to Fall Retention (first-time, part-time) - 49\%
Performance: The retention rate of first-time, part-time students was 54\% for those beginning in Fall 2018 and returning in Fall 2019.

Data: Nationally the retention rate for the public two-year college sector of first-time, full-time freshmen to persist to the following fall was $62 \%$ and for part-time, first-time freshmen was $48.9 \%$, which was used to establish the GSCC performance benchmarks. The IPEDS fall-to-fall cohort retention rate for the past four complete academic years for GSCC is as follows:

Table 3: Cohort Fall-to-Fall Retention Rate

| Student Status | $2015-2016$ <br> $2016-2017$ | $2016-2017$ <br> $2017-2018$ | $2017-2018$ <br> $2018-2019$ | $2018-2019$ <br> $2019-2020$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-Time Student | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Part-Time Student | $43 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $54 \%$ |

## Graduation Rate

Benchmark: Graduation Rate (first-time, full-time) - 20\%
Performance: The graduation rate of first-time, full-time students was $21 \%$ for the Fall 2015 cohort within $150 \%$ of time to complete.

Benchmark: Transfer rate of 15\%
Performance: The transfer rate of the Fall 2015 cohort was $14 \%$.
Data: Gadsden State reports the following completion data in the annual IPEDS survey of graduation rates:

Table 4: Overall Graduation and Transfer Out Rate

| First Time, Full Time Students | 2012 Cohort | 2013 Cohort | 2014 Cohort | 2015 Cohort |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Graduation Rate | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Transfer Out Rate | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## Representative Graduation Rate - 200\% Graduation Rate

Benchmark: Graduation Rate (first-time, full-time) at 200\%-30\%
Performance: The graduation rate of first-time, full-time students was $27 \%$ for the Fall 2014 cohort within $200 \%$ of time to complete.

Data: Gadsden State reports the following completion data in the annual IPEDS survey of 200\% graduation rates:

Table 5: Overall Graduation Rate

| First Time, Full Time Students | 2014 Cohort |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall Graduation Rate | $27 \%$ |

Table 6: Graduation Rates by Race, Gender and Pell
Graduation Rates by Race, Gender and Pell (2014 Cohort)

| Race/Ethnicity | Male | Female | With Pell |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $93 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Asian | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $52 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| White | $61 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

## Course Success Rate

Benchmark: 60\% pass rate in Developmental English (ENR 094)
Performance: The pass rate for ENR 098 was 62.69\% in 2018-2019.

## Benchmark: 60\% pass rates in MTH 098

Performance: The pass rate for MTH 098 was 44.03 \% in 2018-2019.
Benchmark: 15\% or less of students will withdraw from MTH 098
Performance: The benchmark was not made. The withdrawal rate for 2018-2019 was 20.88\%.
The first two institutional goals, directly address student achievement:

1. Provide educational opportunities that prepare students for successful careers in professional and career technical fields in an increasingly global environment, retrain existing employees, and promote local and state workforce development initiatives that meet employer needs.
2. Prepare students with foundational knowledge of general education core requirements, such as communications, humanities, social sciences, mathematics, natural sciences, and/or computer/technology skills, for certificate programs, associate degree programs, and successful transfer to four-year institutions.

Data: Course completion and student outcomes are systematically collected and analyzed to determine student achievement. This information is also used to provide insight into opportunities to improve student achievement. An example of using course completion rates to improve student achievement is the professional development program implemented Fall 2016. This professional development focused on providing faculty mentors to those faculty with student course completion rates lower than the departmental average. Course completion rates were considered in three types of courses: (1) developmental; (2) general education; and (3) online instruction.

Math 098 pass rates and withdrawal rates are also the focus of the college's Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP) for SACSCOC accreditation. The QEP, "Your Math GPS", focuses on achieving a 60\% pass rate, a 15\% or less withdrawal rate and $90 \%$ of students progressing to the next college-level math class. Professional development, embedded tutors in the MTH 098 classes, and additional tools for success like workshops have been added to achieve these benchmarks by 2023.

Table 7: Developmental Course Completion

| Course | Withdrawal Rate | 1success Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-2018 | $8.83 \%$ | $74.59 \%$ |
| ENR 094 | $21.19 \%$ | $54.76 \%$ |
| MTH 098 | $8.21 \%$ | $62.69 \%$ |
| 2018-2019 | $20.88 \%$ | $44.03 \%$ |
| ENR 098 |  |  |
| MTH 098 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Successful = earned a passing grade of $A, B, C$, or $S$
Benchmark: 85\% persistence rates in General Education

Performance Communications: Humanities/Fine Arts and Social Sciences met the 85\% benchmark, but Computer Science (74\%), Math (83\%) and Natural Sciences (83\%) fell short of the 2018-2019 benchmark.

Benchmark: 70\% pass rate in General Education courses
Performance: Communications, Humanities/Fine Arts, and Social Sciences met the 70\% benchmark; but

Computer Science (63\%), Math (66\%) and Natural Sciences (69\%) fell short of the 2018-2019 benchmark.
Data: The following tables provide data relative to the rate at which Gadsden State students have successfully completed general education courses during the most recent three academic years:, 2016-2017, 2017-2018. 2018-2019

Instructional leadership courses, Blackboard and online course development through the Teaching Learning Center and a statewide success initiative has placed particular emphasis on improving course success rates. In addition, student success tools such as tutoring at all campuses and online tutoring have been expanded in order to increase student success.

Table 8: General Education Course Completion

| General Education Core Area | Persistence \% | \% Successful* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Communications |  |  |
| Computer Science | $87.9 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ |
| Humanities | $81.8 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $86.5 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ |
| Math | $91.1 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $81.3 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $83.2 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
|  | $88.2 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ |
| Communications |  |  |
| Computer Science | $88.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
| Humanities | $81.7 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $85.1 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Math | $89.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $80.9 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $82.6 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
|  | $89.9 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| Communications |  |  |
| Computer Science | $74.0 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ |
| Humanities | $81.1 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $87.1 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| Math | $91.5 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| Natural Sciences | $83.3 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $83.0 \%$ | $69.46 \%$ |
| 2018-2019 | $90.2 \%$ | $74.16 \%$ |

Source: DAXREG, (Successful*= A, B or C)
Communications: SPH, ENG 101, 102; Computer Science: CIS 146; Humanities: HUM, ENG 251,253, 261,262;
Fine Arts: ART, MUS, THR; Math: MTH (100 or above); Natural Sciences: BIO, CHM, PHY, PHS, AST;
Social Sciences: HIS, PSY, SOC
The persistence rate in the above tables calculates the rate based on the number of students who withdraw. The successful course completion rate is based on the number of students with a successful grade at the end of the semester.

## Course Completion - Distance Education

Benchmark: 85\% persistence rates in Distance Education courses

Performance: 87\% of students in 2018-2019 persisted to the end of the semester in their Distance Education courses.

## Benchmark: 80\% pass rate in Distance Education courses

Performance: 68\% of the students in 2018-2019 in Distance Education courses passed the course.
Data: Students enrolled in distance education courses demonstrated success rates comparable to all other students. The retention rates of students enrolled in courses delivered utilizing online instruction were over 86\% for reporting years 2017-2018, and 2018-2019. The following table depicts the data relative to the performance of Gadsden State students in courses delivered utilizing online instruction:

Table 9: Distance Education Student Performance*

| 2016-2017 |  | Student Registrations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | Percent

*Distance education is online classes only. Hybrid and independent study are not included.
Successful is A, B. C's divided by entrolled. Withdrawals are not removed from calculation of successful percentage.

## Career and Technical Education

Data from Gadsden State Community College's Report on Career and Technical Education (Perkins Report) for 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 indicate that the College fulfills its mission and institutional goals (Goal 1) with respect to providing educational opportunities that prepare students for successful careers in professional and career technical fields. The Perkins Report shows a slight decrease in the percentage of students attaining technical skills from $96.24 \%$ in 2017-2018 to $95.34 \%$ in 2018-2019, although still meeting the benchmark of $94 \%$. The percentage of students remained enrolled or transferred to another post-secondary institution also decreased from $87.10 \%$ to $63.61 \%$, falling below the target of $75 \%$. Additional emphasis has been placed on stackable credentials and student retention so that students earn awards or credentials as they move through their career and not wait until they earn a degree. Student retention has received new emphasis with academic coaching, increased tutoring, and faculty advisement. The employment in field, military or apprenticeship rate rose to $76.42 \%$ from $73.16 \%$, meeting the $73 \%$ benchmark. The work-based learning emphasis and increase in available jobs for skilled technicians contributes to this success.

Table 10: Perkins Report Data

| Core Indicator | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Targeted | Actual | Targeted |
| \% of CTE concentrators attaining technical skills | 96.24\% | 90\% | 95.34\% | 94\% |
| \% of CTE concentrators receiving an award, industry or professional organization recognized credential | 61.09\% | 60\% | 58.82\% | 65\% |
| \% of CTE concentrators who remained enrolled or transferred to another post-secondary institution or 4-year college | 87.10\% | 77\% | 63.61\% | 75\% |
| $\%$ of CTE concentrators employed in field, military, or apprenticeship programs in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter following the term in which they left post-secondary | 73.16\% | 79\% | 76.42\% | 73\% |

## Achievement of Program Graduates: Performance on Licensing Examinations

Benchmarks:

- Cosmetology (Salon and Spa Management) licensure pass rates will be 80\% or greater
- $\quad$ Nursing (PN and RN) licensure pass rates will be $80 \%$ or greater
- Emergency Medical Services licensure pass rates will be $80 \%$ or greater
- Massage Therapy licensure pass rates will be $90 \%$ or greater
- Medical Laboratory Technician licensure pass rates will be 75\% or greater over a 3-year period
- Radiology Technologiest licensure pass rate will be 75\% or greater
- Diagnostic Medical Sonography licensure pass rate will be $60 \%$ or greater

Performance: Gadsden State program completers in Cosmetology, PN, and Radiologic Technology passed the licensure exams at a rate exceeding their benchmarks. For the three year periods of 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 all Cosmetology graduates' (including esthetics) licensure rate was 100\% (Table 11); Practical Nursing was 92 \% (Table 12); Radiologic Technoloy was 86\% (Table 17); and Medical Laboratory Technology was 86\% (Table 16), all exceeding their benchmarks. EMT licensure rate for the same time period was $80 \%$ (Table 14); and Paramedic licensure rate was $81 \%$ (Table 14) which is at or above the benchmark. Three year rates for Therapeutic Massage struggled at 78\% (Table 15), and Registered Nursing only achieved 73\% (Table 13). Diagnostic Medical Sonography exceeded the benchmark for first year past graduation licensure with an 80\% pass rate.

Table 11: Cosmetology Program Licensure Performance

| Program | \# of Students <br> Tested |  | \# of Students <br> Passing |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2016-2017 | 24 | 24 | Passing \% |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 14 | 14 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |

Table 12: Practical Nursing Program Licensure Performance

| Practical <br> Nursing <br> (NCLEX) | Number of Students | Number Passing <br> Exam | Passing <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-2017 | 61 | 57 | $93.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 100 | 88 | $88 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | 76 | 73 | $96 \%$ |

Table 13: Registered Nursing Program Licensure Performance

| Registered <br> Nursing <br> (NCLEX) | Number of Students | Number Passing <br> Exam | Passing <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 95 | 81 | $85 \%$ |
| $2017-2018$ | 159 | 105 | $66 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | 162 | 116 | $71.6 \%$ |

Table 14: Emergency Medical Technician Licensure Performance

| Program | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-2017 | 58 | 46 | $79 \%$ |  |
| EMT | 28 | 16 | $57 \%$ |  |
| Paramedic | 52 | 45 | $87 \%$ |  |
| 2017-2018 | 22 | 21 | $96 \%$ |  |
| EMT | 48 | 35 | $73 \%$ |  |
| Paramedic | 17 | 17 | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2018-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| EMT |  |  |  |  |

Table 15: Theraputic Massage Licensure Performance

| Massage <br> Therapy | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 13 | 9 | $69.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 10 | 8 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | 9 | 8 | $88 \%$ |

Table 16: Medical Laboratory Technician Certification Performance

| Medical <br> Laboratory | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 10 | 8 | $80 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 14 | 13 | $93 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | 12 | 10 | $83 \%$ |

Table 17: Radiologic Technology Licensure Performance

| Radiologic <br> Technology | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | 19 | 18 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | 18 | 15 | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ | 15 | 12 | $80 \%$ |

Table 18: Diagonstic Medical Sonography Licensure Performance

| Diagnostic <br> Medical <br> Sonography | Number of Students <br> Taking Exam | Number of <br> Students Passing <br> Exam | Passing Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018-2019$ | 15 | 12 | $80 \%$ |

National Educational Examinations

Benchmark: GSCC student performance will be comparable to the national average for 2-year college students on the ETS Proficiency Profile

Performance: The following table demonstrates the GSCC performance in Math, English and Critical Thinking and the comparison to the 2019 Benchmark report for Associate degree colleges for freshmen. Gadsden State was below the benchmarks set for the academic period.

| Skill Dimension | Proficiency Classification |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proficient |  |  |  |  | Marginal | Not Proficient |
| Reading, Level 1 | $36 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Reading, Level 2 | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Critical Thinking | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Writing, Level 1 | $35 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Writing, Level 2 | $5 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $73 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Writing, Level 3 | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Mathematics, Level 1$\quad 29 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $28 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Mathematics, Level 2 | $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Mathematics, Level 3 | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |


| Skill Dimension | Proficiency Classification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gadsden State | National | Difference |
| Reading, Level 1 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 36\% | 57\% | -21\% |
| Marginal | 27\% | 20\% | 7\% |
| Not Proficient | 37\% | 23\% | 14\% |
| Reading, Level 2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 15\% | 28\% | -13\% |
| Marginal | 11\% | 19\% | -8\% |
| Not Proficient | 74\% | 52\% | 22\% |
| Critical Thinking |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 0\% | 2\% | -2\% |
| Marginal | 5\% | 16\% | -11\% |
| Not Proficient | 95\% | 81\% | 14\% |
| Writing, Level 1 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 35\% | 51\% | -16\% |
| Marginal | 41\% | 32\% | 9\% |
| Not Proficient | 24\% | 17\% | 7\% |
| Writing, Level 2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 5\% | 13\% | -8\% |
| Marginal | 22\% | 32\% | -10\% |
| Not Proficient | 73\% | 55\% | 18\% |
| Writing, Level 3 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 3\% | 5\% | -2\% |
| Marginal | 8\% | 19\% | -11\% |
| Not Proficient | 89\% | 76\% | 13\% |
| Mathematics, Level 1 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 29\% | 44\% | -15\% |


| Marginal | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Proficient | $44 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Mathematics, Level 2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | $8 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $-12 \%$ |
| Marginal | $21 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Not Proficient | $71 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Mathematics, Level 3 | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Proficient | $3 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |
| Marginal | $97 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Not Proficient |  |  |  |

